

WS08

Implementation of shared decision making. Integrating patient preferences in clinical practice guidelines. 'Thou shalt' or 'you choose': evidence based medicine meets preference-sensitive care.

Trudy van der Weijden¹, Marije Koelewijn¹, Antoine Boivin^{1,2}, France Légaré^{1,3}, Jako Burgers^{1,4}, Haske van Veenendaal^{1,4}, Loes Knaapen^{1,5}, Anne Stiggelbout^{1,6}, Arwen Pieterse^{1,6}, Glyn Elwyn^{1,7}
¹Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands, ²Radboud University, Nijmegen, Netherlands, ³Laval University, Quebec, Canada, ⁴CBO Institute, Utrecht, Netherlands, ⁵McGill University, Montreal, Canada, ⁶Leiden University, Leiden, Netherlands, ⁷Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom

Both evidence based medicine and shared decision making models agree on the importance of integrating medical evidence and patient values. Some clinical practice guidelines organizations have developed structured patient and public involvement programs and /or have developed patient versions of the guideline or patient decision aids as concurrent stand-alone products. Nevertheless, implementation of guideline recommendations does not currently appear to foster patient involvement in decision-making. Ideas on how clinical practice guidelines can effectively facilitate the process of incorporating patients' values and preferences during decision making in clinical practice will be explored. We seek for feasible and effective ways of enhancing shared decision making by adapting guideline development procedures or by combining or cross-fertilising guidelines and strategies for patient involvement such as patient decision aids.

Description of the workshop:

- 10-min presentation: Introduction on shared decision making (including IPDAS). Is engaging in shared decision making with patients compatible with adhering to clinical practice guidelines?
- 10-min presentation: Ideas on integrating patient preferences in clinical practice guidelines.
- 30-min task: Discussion in subgroups (working materials: guideline depression or breast cancer). How could guideline documents and patient decision support strategies or technology best be linked? What does this mean for the guideline development procedure?
- 30-min debate: Plenary feedback and discussion, wrap up by workshop leaders.
- A summary will be produced by the workshop organizers and sent to workshop participants.

Learning objectives to be covered in workshop

- Awareness of quality criteria for patient decision aids.
- Reflection on consequences for clinical practice of formally encouraging incorporating patient preferences in clinical practice guidelines.

Reflection on practical issues in linking strategies for patient involvement such as patient decision aids to clinical practice guidelines.