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Association of the Scientific Medical Societies
German Cancer Society
German Cancer Aid

OBJECTIVES

Promotion of CPG development
Prioritization of topics
Improvement of implementation and evaluation

- Patient guidelines
  - Short / Long versions of CPGs
  - Quality indicators (linked to registries)

Independent funding
Patient Guideline Development Process

Agreement on the PG structure (editorial staff)

Translation of recommendations of the CPG (moderator)

Developing and writing of the PG (editorial staff)

Public / Peer review

Final editing

Publication

Controlling quality standards

- transfer of evidence-based recommendations from clinical guidelines
- peer review by experts of the clinical guidelines
- patient participation
- provision of formal demands for good patient information
- transparency in conflicts of interest

- three-month phase of consultation with a structured feedback system
- quality assessment after publication by an external institution following the quality criteria of the DISCERN instrument
- results considered in reissue
GGPO’s view of “Patient Guidelines”

Patient guidelines are providing information about cancer in order to enable an informed decision making of patients.

They offer patients the opportunity to address relevant questions a part from the clinical aspects of disease and to bring in own experiences

Key element:
→ Translation of the evidence based recommendations of a current CPG into an understandable language for consumers
Objective

Assessment of the inventory of oncological patient guidelines within G-I-N member organisations

Comparison of patient guideline development
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Screening process

Screen Homepages all G-I-N current and former members
• By search functions using terms:
  − “patient information” “patient guideline” “lay version”
  − “consumer resources”
• By hand

Check documents
• Oncological topic
• PG linked to CPGs? Translation of Recommendations?
• Methodology described?
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Results

Search performed on February/March 2010

G-I-N Members (current and former) n=117

Available Homepages n=113

Included by language E,G,D,F n=99

Homepages with PI (Oncology) n= 15
Patient Guideline
n=48 (2)*

Patient Information referring to CPG
n=36 (6)*

Patient Information without direct referral to CPG
n=57 (7)*

NICE
GGPO (ASMS, GCS)

ACCC ACP
ASCO FNCLCC
SIGN NZGG

AUA, NBOCC et al.

Limitations

Search performed on the world wide web:
- missing of relevant data
  documents not found on the homepage
- search is fairly reproducible
- language restriction
Patient Guidelines in Oncology

Patient Guidelines pose an important tool for guideline implementation by translating recommendations and background information of the CPG into an understandable language and enabling patients to share medical knowledge in order to make decisions on the best available evidence.

Patient Guideline Development Process

- Agreement on the PG structure (editorial staff)
- Translation of recommendations of the CPG (moderator)
- Developing and writing of the PG (editorial staff)
- Public / Peer review
- Final editing
- Publication
Controlling quality standards

Transfer of evidence-based recommendations from clinical guidelines
Peer review by experts of the clinical guidelines
Patient participation
Provision of formal demands for good patient information
Transparency in conflicts of interest

Three-month phase of consultation with a structured feedback system
Quality assessment after publication by an external institution following the quality criteria of the DISCERN instrument
Results considered in reissue
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Conclusions

Some G-I-N members are offering Patient Information on Cancer topics

Quality and format of this information is quite inhomogeneous

Methodology is generally not reported (and therefore incomparable)

Development of Patient Guidelines (PG) translating current CPGs are uncommon among G-I-N members

Implications

→ Methodology of development of PI should be reported

→ The need of PG in general and explicit translation of the recommendations of a CPG should be discussed

→ A methodology of PG development could be a topic of interest within the G-I-N Community
Thank you!